

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,510	01/15/2004	Shigeru Miyamoto	723-1460	6319
27562 NIXON & VA	7590 04/21/200 NDERHYE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	SLEBE ROAD, 11TH F	NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/757,510	MIYAMOTO ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Binh-An D. Nguyen	3714					

	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3714							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one of the final rejection, whichever is later. In one of the final rejection. Examiner Note: [150x 15 schecked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n.								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	Flad within two month	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 			ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•							
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim (s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xpianation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.8.10.11.17.19 and 21.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714									

Application No. 10/757,510

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The amended limitations of "animation data output programmed logic circuitry outputting animation data to cause the player object to automatically jump over one of said hollow and said hole formed by the land object image data according to said jump distance when the jump code is detected" (claim 1); "said animation data output programmed logic circuitry outputting such animation data that the player object automatically climbs in accordance with the height of the wall surface when the climb code is detected" (claim 6); "camera switching programmed logic circuitry to automatically switch between said plurality of virtual cameras depending dependent upon said camera switching code detected by said camera switching code detector" (claim 8); "á sound data generator to generate sound data for a plurality of ones of sound; and sound switching programmed logic circuitry to automatically switch the sound data depending upon said detected sound switching code" (claim 10); "animation data output programmed logic circuitry outputting animation data to cause the player object to automatically jump over one of said hollow and said hole formed by the land object image data according to said jump distance when said jump code is detected" (claim 11): "an animation data output program outputting such animation data that the player object automatically performs an optimal action depending upon the wall height when the climb code is detected" (claim 17): "a camera switching program to automatically switch between said plurality of virtual cameras dependant upon said camera switching code detected by said camera switching code detector" (claim 19); and "a sound switching code detector that detects the sound switching code included in the land object image data, a sound data generator to generate sound data for a plurality sounds; and a sound switching program to automatically switch the sound data depending upon the sound switching code" (claim 21) raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.